

# A holomorphic representation formula for parabolic hyperspheres

Vicente Cortés \*

Mathematisches Institut

Universität Bonn

Beringstraße 1

D-53115 Bonn

February 1, 2008

## Abstract

A holomorphic representation formula for special parabolic hyperspheres is given.

*Keywords:* affine hyperspheres, special Kähler manifolds

*MSC 2000:* 53A15, 53C26

## Introduction

It was noticed by Blaschke that parabolic spheres in affine 3-space admit parametrisations in terms of holomorphic functions (of one variable). This is related to the fact that the Monge-Ampère equation governing parabolic hyperspheres is completely integrable in dimension two, a fact already known to Monge. The purpose of this note is to derive an explicit formula describing special parabolic hyperspheres in affine  $(2n + 1)$ -space in terms of a holomorphic function of  $n$  variables.

---

\*e-mail: vicente@math.uni-bonn.de

This work was supported by SFB 256 “Nichtlineare partielle Differentialgleichungen” (Universität Bonn).

# 1 Special parabolic affine hyperspheres

Let me briefly recall the notion of *special* parabolic affine hypersphere [BC]. We consider  $\mathbb{R}^{m+1}$  as affine space with standard connection denoted by  $\tilde{\nabla}$  and parallel volume form  $\text{vol}$ . A hypersurface is given by an immersion  $\varphi : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m+1}$  of an  $m$ -dimensional connected manifold. We assume that  $M$  admits a transversal vector field  $\xi$  and that  $m > 1$ . This induces on  $M$  the volume form  $\nu = \text{vol}(\xi, \dots)$ , a torsionfree connection  $\nabla$ , a quadratic covariant tensor field  $g$ , an endomorphism field  $S$  (shape tensor) and a one-form  $\theta$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\nabla}_X Y &= \nabla_X Y + g(X, Y)\xi, \\ \tilde{\nabla}_X \xi &= SX + \theta(X)\xi.\end{aligned}\tag{1.1}$$

Let us call the data  $(\nabla, g, S, \theta)$  the **Gauß-Weingarten data** induced by the transversal vector field  $\xi$ . We will assume that  $g$  is nondegenerate and, hence, is a pseudo-Riemannian metric on  $M$ . This condition does not depend on the choice of  $\xi$ . According to Blaschke [B], once the orientation of  $M$  is fixed, there is a unique choice of transversal vector field  $\xi$  such that  $\nu$  coincides with the metric volume form  $\text{vol}^g$  and  $\nabla\nu = 0$ . This particular choice of transversal vector field is called the **affine normal** and the corresponding geometric data  $(g, \nabla)$  are called **Blaschke metric** and **induced connection**. Notice that, for the affine normal,  $\theta = 0$  and  $S$  is computable from  $(g, \nabla)$  (Gauß equations). Henceforth we use always the affine normal as transversal vector field.

**Definition 1** *The hypersurface  $\varphi : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m+1}$  is called a **parabolic** (or *improper*) **hypersphere** if the affine normal is parallel,  $\tilde{\nabla}\xi = 0$ . It is called **special** if there exists an almost complex structure  $J$  on  $M$  which is skew symmetric with respect to the Blaschke metric  $g$  and such that the 2-form  $\omega := g(J\cdot, \cdot)$  is parallel with respect to the induced connection  $\nabla$ . Such an almost complex structure  $J$  is called **compatible**.*

Notice that  $\tilde{\nabla}\xi = 0 \Leftrightarrow S = 0 \Leftrightarrow \nabla$  is flat. It was proven in [BC] that any parabolic two-dimensional sphere with (positive or negative) definite Blaschke metric is special and that a compatible almost complex structure on a special parabolic hypersphere is necessarily integrable. In fact, we proved the following stronger result, Theorem 1 below.

Recall that a **special Kähler manifold**  $(M, J, g, \nabla)$  is a (pseudo-)Kähler manifold  $(M, J, g)$  endowed with a flat torsionfree connection  $\nabla$  such that  $\nabla J$  is symmetric and  $\nabla \omega = 0$ , where  $\omega = g(J\cdot, \cdot)$  is the Kähler form.

**Theorem 1** [BC] *Let  $\varphi : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m+1}$  be a special parabolic hypersphere with Blaschke metric  $g$ , induced connection  $\nabla$ , compatible almost complex structure  $J$  and canonical two-form  $\omega = g(J\cdot, \cdot)$ . Then  $(M, J, g, \nabla)$  is a special Kähler manifold. Conversely, any simply connected special Kähler manifold  $(M, J, g, \nabla)$  admits an immersion  $\varphi : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m+1}$ , which is a special parabolic hypersphere with Blaschke metric  $g$ , induced connection  $\nabla$  and compatible almost complex structure  $J$ . The immersion  $\varphi$  is unique up to a unimodular affine transformation of  $\mathbb{R}^{m+1}$ .*

## 2 The holomorphic representation formula

It was proven in [ACD] that any special Kähler manifold is locally defined by a holomorphic function, as follows. Let  $F$  be a holomorphic function on a domain (i.e. a connected open set)  $U \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  such that the (real) matrix

$$\text{Im } \partial^2 F \quad \text{is invertible,} \quad (2.1)$$

where  $\partial^2 F$  denotes the holomorphic Hessian of  $F$ . Let us denote by  $M_F \subset T^*\mathbb{C}^n$  the image of the holomorphic section  $dF : U \rightarrow T^*U \subset T^*\mathbb{C}^n$ . It is a complex Lagrangian submanifold with respect to the standard complex symplectic structure  $\Omega = \sum dz^i \wedge dw_i$ , where  $(z^1, \dots, z^n, w_1, \dots, w_n)$  are canonical coordinates of  $T^*\mathbb{C}^n$ . We denote its complex structure by  $J$ . Using the nondegeneracy condition (2.1), it is shown in [ACD] that the Hermitian form  $\gamma := \sqrt{-1}\Omega(\cdot, \bar{\cdot})$  is nondegenerate on  $M_F$  and, hence, induces a (pseudo-) Kähler metric  $g = \text{Re } \gamma|_{M_F}$ . It is also shown that a torsionfree connection  $\nabla$  on  $M_F$  can be defined by the condition that the real parts  $x^i := \text{Re } z^i$  and  $y_j := \text{Re } w_j$  are  $\nabla$ -affine functions on  $M_F$ . In fact, it is shown that  $(x^1, \dots, x^n, y_1, \dots, y_n)$  is a (real) local coordinate system near any point of  $M_F$  and that the Kähler form  $\omega = g(\cdot, J\cdot)$  is expressed by the formula  $\omega = 2 \sum dx^i \wedge dy_i$  on  $M_F$ .

**Theorem 2** [ACD] *Let  $F$  be a holomorphic function satisfying the nondegeneracy condition (2.1) on a domain  $U \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ . Then  $(M_F, J, g, \nabla)$ , defined above, is a special Kähler*

manifold and any special Kähler manifold is locally of this form.

It is noticed in [BC] that combining Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 we can associate a parabolic hypersphere to any holomorphic function  $F$  defined on a simply connected domain  $U \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  and satisfying the nondegeneracy condition (2.1). However, the proof of Theorem 1 makes use of the Fundamental Theorem of affine differential geometry [DNV] (the generalisation of Radon's theorem [R] to higher dimensions) and does not involve any explicit parametrisation of the immersion  $\varphi : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$  realising a simply connected special Kähler manifold  $(M, J, g, \nabla)$  of real dimension  $2n$  as parabolic hypersphere. The aim is now to provide an explicit formula, in terms of the holomorphic function  $F$ , for the realisation of  $(M_F, J, g, \nabla)$  as a parabolic hypersphere  $\varphi_F : M_F \cong U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$ .

We will not restrict ourselves to functions  $F$  defined on simply connected domains  $U \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ . More generally, we consider a 'multivalued' function defined on an arbitrary domain  $U \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ . Or, in other words, a (univalued) function defined on some Riemann domain  $\tilde{U}$  over  $U$ . A **Riemann domain** over  $U$  is a holomorphic (unramified) covering  $\pi : \tilde{U} \rightarrow U$ . Any holomorphic function  $F$  on  $\tilde{U}$  defines a holomorphic Lagrangian immersion

$$\phi : \tilde{U} \rightarrow T^*U \subset T^*\mathbb{C}^n, \quad \phi(p) := dF \circ (\pi_*|_{T_p\tilde{U}})^{-1}, \quad p \in \tilde{U}. \quad (2.2)$$

Let us denote by  $J$  the complex structure of  $\tilde{U}$ . Pulling back the canonical coordinates of  $T^*\mathbb{C}^n$  to  $\tilde{U}$  we obtain holomorphic functions

$$\tilde{z}^i := \phi^* z^i \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{w}_j := \phi^* w_j$$

on  $\tilde{U}$ . The holomorphic functions  $\tilde{z}^i$  form a local holomorphic coordinate system near any point of  $\tilde{U}$ . We use the compact notation

$$\tilde{z} := (\tilde{z}^1, \dots, \tilde{z}^n), \quad F_{\tilde{z}} = (F_{\tilde{z}^1}, \dots, F_{\tilde{z}^n}) = \left( \frac{\partial F}{\partial \tilde{z}^1}, \dots, \frac{\partial F}{\partial \tilde{z}^n} \right), \quad F_{\tilde{z}} \tilde{z} = \sum F_{\tilde{z}^k} \tilde{z}^k \quad \text{etc.}$$

Let  $\partial^2 F$  be the Hessian of  $F$  with respect to (the flat torsionfree holomorphic connection defined by) the coordinate system  $\tilde{z}$ . We call  $F$  **nondegenerate** if  $\text{Im } \partial^2 F$  is invertible. Then, as before,  $g := \text{Re } \phi^* \gamma$  is a pseudo-Kähler metric and we can define a flat torsion-free connection  $\nabla$  by the condition that the functions  $\tilde{x}^i := \text{Re } \tilde{z}^i$  and  $\tilde{y}_j := \text{Re } \tilde{w}_j$  are

$\nabla$ -affine functions on  $\tilde{U}$ . We also put  $\tilde{u}^i := \operatorname{Im} \tilde{z}^i$  and  $\tilde{v}_j := \operatorname{Im} \tilde{w}_j$ . Let us abbreviate  $M(F) := (\tilde{U}, J, g, \nabla)$  and define an immersion  $\varphi_F : \tilde{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$  by the formula

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_F &:= (\operatorname{Re} \tilde{z}, \operatorname{Re} F_{\tilde{z}}, 2\operatorname{Im} F - 2(\operatorname{Re} F_{\tilde{z}})\operatorname{Im} \tilde{z}) \\ &= (\tilde{x}^1, \dots, \tilde{x}^n, \tilde{y}_1, \dots, \tilde{y}_n, 2\operatorname{Im} F - 2 \sum (\tilde{y}_k)\tilde{u}^k). \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

**Theorem 3** *Let  $F$  be a nondegenerate holomorphic function defined on a Riemann domain  $\tilde{U}$ . Then  $M(F) = (\tilde{U}, J, g, \nabla)$ , defined above, is a special Kähler manifold with Kähler form  $\omega = g(\cdot, J\cdot) = 2 \sum d\tilde{x}^i \wedge d\tilde{y}_i$ . The immersion  $\varphi_F : \tilde{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$  defined by (2.3) is, with respect to the volume form  $\operatorname{vol} := 2^n \det$  on  $\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$ , a special parabolic hypersphere with affine normal  $\xi = \partial_{2n+1}$ , Blaschke metric  $g$ , induced connection  $\nabla$  and compatible almost complex structure  $J$ . It is unique up to unimodular affine transformations of  $\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$ .*

*Proof:* The first statement is a slight generalisation of the first part of Theorem 2, with essentially the same proof. The uniqueness of  $\varphi_F$  follows, as in the proof of Theorem 1, from the uniqueness statement of the Fundamental Theorem of affine differential geometry. It suffices to prove that  $\varphi_F$  is a parabolic hypersphere with Blaschke metric  $g$ , induced connection  $\nabla$  and compatible almost complex structure  $J$ . Let us compute the Gauß-Weingarten data  $(\nabla^v, g^v, S^v, \theta^v)$ , see (1.1), induced by the transversal vector field  $v = \partial_{2n+1}$  (the ‘vertical’ vector field). It is immediate that  $S^v = 0$  and  $\theta^v = 0$ . We compute  $\nabla^v$  and  $g^v$  for the coordinate vector fields

$$\partial_{\tilde{x}^i} = \partial_i + \frac{\partial f}{\partial \tilde{x}^i} \partial_{2n+1}, \quad \partial_{\tilde{y}_j} = \partial_{n+j} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial \tilde{y}_j} \partial_{2n+1},$$

where

$$f := 2\operatorname{Im} F - 2(\operatorname{Re} F_{\tilde{z}})\operatorname{Im} \tilde{z} = 2\operatorname{Im} F - 2 \sum \tilde{y}_k \tilde{u}^k$$

is the last component of  $\varphi_F$ . The covariant derivatives with respect to the connection  $\tilde{\nabla}$  of  $\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$  are given by

$$\tilde{\nabla}_{\partial_{\tilde{x}^i}} \partial_{\tilde{x}^j} = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \tilde{x}^i \partial \tilde{x}^j} v, \quad \tilde{\nabla}_{\partial_{\tilde{x}^i}} \partial_{\tilde{y}_j} = \tilde{\nabla}_{\partial_{\tilde{y}_j}} \partial_{\tilde{x}^i} = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \tilde{x}^i \partial \tilde{y}_j} v, \quad \tilde{\nabla}_{\partial_{\tilde{y}_i}} \partial_{\tilde{y}_j} = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \tilde{y}_i \partial \tilde{y}_j} v.$$

This shows that the coordinate vector fields  $\partial_{\tilde{x}^i}$  and  $\partial_{\tilde{y}_j}$  are parallel for the connection  $\nabla^v$ , so it coincides with  $\nabla$ . Now  $\theta = 0$  implies  $\nabla \nu^v = \nabla^v \nu^v = 0$  for the volume form

$\nu^v = \text{vol}(v, \dots)$ . Moreover, we see that  $g^v = \text{Hess}^\nabla(f) = \nabla^2 f$ .

**Claim 1**  $g^v = g$ .

The claim, to be proven below, implies that  $v$  is the affine normal and, hence, that  $g^v = g$  is the Blaschke metric. Let us see why. The Riemannian volume of the (pseudo-)Kähler manifold  $M(F)$  with Kähler form  $\omega = g(J\cdot, \cdot) = 2 \sum_{i=1}^n d\tilde{x}^i \wedge d\tilde{y}_i$  is given by

$$\text{vol}^g = (-1)^{n(n-1)/2} \frac{\omega^n}{n!} = 2^n d\tilde{x}^1 \wedge \dots \wedge d\tilde{x}^n \wedge d\tilde{y}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge d\tilde{y}_n = 2^n \det(v, \dots) = \nu^v ,$$

if we choose the orientation defined by  $\nu^v$ . (Notice that  $d\tilde{x}^1 \wedge \dots \wedge d\tilde{x}^n \wedge d\tilde{y}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge d\tilde{y}_n = (-1)^{n(n-1)/2} d\tilde{x}^1 \wedge d\tilde{y}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge d\tilde{x}^n \wedge d\tilde{y}_n$ .) This shows that  $\text{vol}^g$  coincides with the  $\nabla$ -parallel volume form  $\nu^v$ . So  $v$  is the affine normal and, hence,  $\varphi_F$  is a parabolic hypersphere with Blaschke metric  $g$  and induced connection  $\nabla$ . The fact that  $M(F)$  is a special Kähler manifold entails that  $J$  is skew symmetric with respect to  $g$  and  $\nabla\omega = 0$ . Therefore, the complex structure  $J$  is compatible, in the sense of Definition 1, with the data  $(g, \nabla)$ .

It remains to prove Claim 1. For the calculations we will use the next lemma.

**Lemma 1** *The partial derivatives of the functions  $\tilde{u}^i$  and  $\tilde{v}_j$  on  $M(F)$  with respect to the  $\nabla$ -affine coordinates  $(\tilde{x}^1, \dots, \tilde{x}^n, \tilde{y}_1, \dots, \tilde{y}_n)$  satisfy the following equations:*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_k (\tilde{u}_{\tilde{x}^i}^k(\tilde{v}_k)_{\tilde{y}_j} - \tilde{u}_{\tilde{y}_j}^k(\tilde{v}_k)_{\tilde{x}^i}) &= \delta_i^j , \\ \sum_k \tilde{u}_{\tilde{x}^i}^k(\tilde{v}_k)_{\tilde{x}^j} &= \sum_k \tilde{u}_{\tilde{x}^j}^k(\tilde{v}_k)_{\tilde{x}^i} , \\ \sum_k \tilde{u}_{\tilde{y}_i}^k(\tilde{v}_k)_{\tilde{y}_j} &= \sum_k \tilde{u}_{\tilde{y}_j}^k(\tilde{v}_k)_{\tilde{y}_i} , \\ \tilde{u}_{\tilde{x}^j}^i &= -(\tilde{v}_j)_{\tilde{y}_i} , \\ \tilde{u}_{\tilde{y}_j}^i &= \tilde{u}_{\tilde{y}_i}^j , \\ (\tilde{v}_i)_{\tilde{x}^j} &= (\tilde{v}_j)_{\tilde{x}^i} . \end{aligned}$$

*Proof:* Pulling back the symplectic form  $\Omega$  of  $T^*\mathbb{C}^n$  by means of the Lagrangian immersion  $\phi : M(F) \rightarrow T^*\mathbb{C}^n$  defined in (2.2), we obtain the equation  $\phi^*\Omega = 0$ . Decomposing it into real and imaginary parts yields the lemma.  $\square$

Let us return to the proof of Theorem 3. First we observe that

$$\partial_{\tilde{x}^i} \text{Im } F = \text{Im } \partial_{\tilde{x}^i} F = \sum_j \text{Im} \left( \frac{\partial \tilde{z}^j}{\partial \tilde{x}^i} \partial_{\tilde{z}^j} F \right) = \sum_j \text{Im} ((\delta_i^j + \sqrt{-1} \tilde{u}_{\tilde{x}^i}^j) F_{\tilde{z}^j}) = \tilde{v}_i + \sum_j \tilde{u}_{\tilde{x}^i}^j \tilde{y}_j$$

and

$$\partial_{\tilde{y}_j} \operatorname{Im} F = \operatorname{Im} \partial_{\tilde{y}_j} F = \sum_k \operatorname{Im} \left( \frac{\partial \tilde{z}^k}{\partial \tilde{y}_j} \partial_{\tilde{z}^k} F \right) = \sum_k \operatorname{Im} (\sqrt{-1} \tilde{u}_{\tilde{y}_j}^k F_{\tilde{z}^k}) = \sum_k \tilde{u}_{\tilde{y}_j}^k \tilde{y}_k.$$

The second derivatives of  $\operatorname{Im} F$  are now easily computed with the help of Lemma 1:

$$\partial_{\tilde{x}^i \tilde{x}^j}^2 \operatorname{Im} F = (\tilde{v}_i)_{\tilde{x}^j} + \sum_k \tilde{u}_{\tilde{x}^i \tilde{x}^j}^k \tilde{y}_k, \quad \partial_{\tilde{x}^i \tilde{y}_j}^2 \operatorname{Im} F = \sum_k \tilde{u}_{\tilde{y}_j \tilde{x}^i}^k \tilde{y}_k, \quad \partial_{\tilde{y}_i \tilde{y}_j}^2 \operatorname{Im} F = \tilde{u}_{\tilde{y}_j}^i + \sum_k \tilde{u}_{\tilde{y}_i \tilde{y}_j}^k \tilde{y}_k.$$

Using this and Lemma 1 one can now evaluate  $g^v = \nabla^2 f$ :

$$\begin{aligned} g^v(\partial_{\tilde{x}^i}, \partial_{\tilde{x}^j}) &= \partial_{\tilde{x}^i \tilde{x}^j}^2 f = 2((\tilde{v}_i)_{\tilde{x}^j} + \sum_k \tilde{u}_{\tilde{x}^i \tilde{x}^j}^k \tilde{y}_k) - 2 \sum_k \tilde{u}_{\tilde{x}^i \tilde{x}^j}^k \tilde{y}_k = 2(\tilde{v}_i)_{\tilde{x}^j}, \\ g^v(\partial_{\tilde{x}^i}, \partial_{\tilde{y}_j}) &= \partial_{\tilde{x}^i \tilde{y}_j}^2 f = 2 \sum_k \tilde{u}_{\tilde{y}_j \tilde{x}^i}^k \tilde{y}_k - 2(\tilde{u}_{\tilde{x}^i}^j + \sum_k \tilde{u}_{\tilde{y}_j \tilde{x}^i}^k \tilde{y}_k) = -2\tilde{u}_{\tilde{x}^i}^j, \\ g^v(\partial_{\tilde{y}_i}, \partial_{\tilde{y}_j}) &= \partial_{\tilde{y}_i \tilde{y}_j}^2 f = 2(\tilde{u}_{\tilde{y}_j}^i + \sum_k \tilde{u}_{\tilde{y}_i \tilde{y}_j}^k \tilde{y}_k) - 2(\tilde{u}_{\tilde{y}_i}^j + \tilde{u}_{\tilde{y}_j}^i + \sum_k \tilde{u}_{\tilde{y}_i \tilde{y}_j}^k \tilde{y}_k) = -2\tilde{u}_{\tilde{y}_j}^i. \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Notice that in virtue of (2.4) we have:

$$(\tilde{u}^i)_{\tilde{x}^j} = (\tilde{u}^j)_{\tilde{x}^i}. \tag{2.5}$$

Let us compare this with  $g$ . The simplest way to compute  $g$  is using the fact that  $g = \omega \circ J$ , where  $\omega = 2 \sum dx^i \wedge dy_i$  is the Kähler form and we consider  $g$  and  $\omega$  as isomorphisms  $TM \rightarrow T^*M$  (insertion of a vector in the first argument). It is easier to work with the inverse metric  $g^{-1} = J^{-1} \circ \omega^{-1} = -J \circ \omega^{-1} = \omega^{-1} \circ J^*$ . Notice that

$$\omega^{-1} = \frac{1}{2} \sum \partial_{\tilde{y}_i} \wedge \partial_{\tilde{x}^i}, \quad J^* d\tilde{x}^i = -d\tilde{u}^i \quad \text{and} \quad J^* d\tilde{y}_j = -d\tilde{v}_j.$$

Let us evaluate  $g^{-1}$  with the help of these formulas and Lemma 1:

$$\begin{aligned} g^{-1}(d\tilde{x}^i, d\tilde{x}^j) &= -\omega^{-1}(d\tilde{u}^i, d\tilde{x}^j) = -\frac{1}{2} \tilde{u}_{\tilde{y}_j}^i, \\ g^{-1}(d\tilde{x}^i, d\tilde{y}_j) &= -\omega^{-1}(d\tilde{u}^i, d\tilde{y}_j) = \frac{1}{2} \tilde{u}_{\tilde{x}^j}^i, \\ g^{-1}(d\tilde{y}_i, d\tilde{y}_j) &= -\omega^{-1}(d\tilde{v}_i, d\tilde{y}_j) = \frac{1}{2} (\tilde{v}_i)_{\tilde{x}^j}. \end{aligned}$$

Comparing with the formulas for  $g^v$  and using Lemma 1 and (2.5) this proves that  $g^{-1}g^v = \operatorname{id}$  and, hence, that  $g = g^v$ . This completes the proof of Claim 1 and Theorem 3.

□

## References

- [ACD] D. V. Alekseevsky, V. Cortés and C. Devchand, *Special complex manifolds*, available as preprint 2000-14, Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik, math.DG/9910091.
- [BC] O. Baues and V. Cortés, *Realisation of special Kähler manifolds as parabolic spheres*, to appear in Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., available as preprint 613, SFB 256, Bonn, math.DG/9911079.
- [B] W. Blaschke, *Vorlesungen über Differentialgeometrie II. Affine Differentialgeometrie*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften VII, Springer Verlag, Berlin 1923.
- [DNV] F. Dillen, K. Nomizu and L. Vrancken, *Conjugate connections and Radon's theorem in affine differential geometry*, Monatsh. Math. **109** (1990), 221-235.
- [R] J. Radon, *Die Grundgleichungen der affinen Flächentheorie*, Leipziger Berichte **70** (1918), 91-107.